VILLAGE OF OAKWOOD COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

January 24, 2023

ATTENDED

Elaine Gaither-Council at Large

Chris Callender-Ward 1 Eloise Hardin-Ward 2

Paggie Matlock-Ward 3 (late)

Mary Davis-Ward 4

Candace Williams-Ward 5

ABSENT

Johnnie Warren-President

Mark Garratt-Police Brian Thompson-Finance

Jim Climer- Law Director

Gary Gottschalk- Mayor (Late)

Brian Dirocco-Fire

Daniel Marinucci-Building

Tom Haba-Service

Ed Hren-Engineer

Carlean Perez-Recreation

Meeting opened approximately 7:03 by Gaither

Pledge of Allegiance and attendance taken.

Motion to approve the minutes of the 12/30/2022 Special meeting by Davis; Seconded by Callender

VOTE YES: Gaither, Callender, Hardin, Matlock, Davis

ABSTAINED: Williams

Motion to approve the minutes of the 1/10/2023 Council meeting by Callender; Seconded by Davis

VOTE YES: Gaither, Callender, Matlock, Davis, Williams ABSTAINED: Hardin (due to not reading it)

Clerk Correspondence-Morgan: None

Department Reports:

Fire-Tapp: I have nothing new since last council meeting.

Building-Marinucci: Two things; The commercial land (and it's my philosophy), I performed a fire inspection with the fire department on Reflections and getting in there early enough in case they need to run wires and do this or that. When I walked in, I was overwhelmed. They are transforming a building that had massive code violations. In my opinion, that is going to be a million-dollar building. Its location and what they are doing, it floored me. I can't wait. It is projected for a final somewhere in April. And if I could mention, it would really be good for the council to go over and take a look at this. It's every aspect of it. Having the cooperation with the owner, with the engineers and the contractors, the reviews are fast. If we don't review in 3 days, they are upset. But it's getting done very fast and I am very proud of it. On the other side, there is a quick statement because you are getting emails on it. My biggest fear in OV is the residential aspect of it. We're overwhelmed and we talk about it all the time with these LLCs. And it's multi-layered. Get rid of one, the next in line is an LLC; get rid of that one and the next is an LLC. With the Supreme Court and I understand due process of law, but they're wrong when they don't allow us the ability to get inside the house. Because of a certain transaction with the Mayor knowing the previous owner, coming in and giving us an affidavit to go in. With the law department with the ability to get a warrant to get on the inside, they are breaking down and allowing us to get in. What happened is I am condemning a problem and I read an email going to you guys. You're getting it. But they don't like what we do, and they are going in that direction. As long as I am the building commissioner, I will everything to prove.... I do not want OV to become a Fairport Harbor. I do not want it to become Geneva. In my early days, every one of you would have rented from me. I bought distressed property. Before I knew it, my renters have been with me 15-20 years. First of all, there are all new windows, new roofs, hardwood floors, new utilities, dishwashers, stuff like that. A couple of my renters increased their rent because I don't charge them enough money for rent. The point I am making, there is a difference between that and these people that are coming in and closing their eyes to what's going on. Stay tuned, that is what's going on in the rental department. We are fighting them very hard; I want you to know that. Mayor: His department was threatened by a developer of a house on Booker that I know the owner. She is over 100 now. Her son was there but the property taxes are to the point where he is now leaving and it's unlivable. Here is the developer, threatening with a lawyer because he

didn't like the attitude of the building department in terms of saying this house has to be torn down. In fact, the house was built in 1945; she's 101. He's her son and other than the family working on that house, it has never been touched by anybody, so you can imagine what it is like on the inside. So, here they were, if it was up to them, they would be in that house leasing it out. Secondly, being gender neutral here, the 6 ladies over at Reflections have done an incredible job. This is a high-end interior design group out of Chagrin Falls and Cleveland Heights. As our building inspector has said, what a job they have done. It's great to have those kind of class operations coming into OV. **Davis**: So, Reflections used to be General Title, how did it get so many codes against it if it was used all these years as General Title? **Marinucci**: We have no right going inside until you get a warrant. **Mayor**: It's a historic building by the way. The first brick building was between here and Pittsburgh when it was built. They were not huge violations, but it had problems.

Service-Haba: I have no report tonight.

Finance-Thompson: First of all, I'd like to apologize for the miscommunication on the finance committee meeting. Normally we would have used that meeting for year-end discussion, but there is no way to do that pending we have the legislation on the agenda that puts me in the position to do all my year end alignments and adjustments and cure any negative balances we may have from subsidies from the general fund. I do have the exhibit that I passed out last week. I am prepared to discuss that in detail and hope we can get through this legislation and close out the year end. Then, we can reschedule with the council's pleasure, for the next meeting and the end of the year finance committee meeting. Williams: Are you saying you could not have discussed this document at the finance committee meeting? Thompson: No, I am not saying that. Normally we use that for the whole year. I usually discuss everything, all funds. This is one piece that is attached to the legislation, so I am prepared to discuss that now and answer any questions. Williams: My first concern was that was a public finance meeting. We didn't cancel or anything. We arrived and we didn't know where you were. We had no clue as to what was happening. (apology was extended at beginning of his report) I think we owe the community accountability.... Thompson: Truly understand that. We will have to reschedule that. It was just miscommunication. Williams: The agenda was sent out Friday which you didn't know we were having a meeting at all since Friday. Thompson: No, I did not anticipate having a meeting especially due to having to get through all these yearend adjustments. Williams: Do we have an ordinance that we have finance meetings on the 4th Tuesday of every month? I thought we did that in the village. Thompson: I don't think it is formal like that. I know we have a deadline for closing the month, which has been a challenge this year because we had a severe illness with the accounting staff. I have been without an accounting staff for 6 weeks, transition of a new employee, catching up with everything and preparing to close out the year. Gaither: I don't think we have an ordinance. Williams: So, if we have a 6pm meeting, at some point, if you are not going to be there, we should have some type of communication. I don't know what got miscommunicated. The Clerk couldn't reach you; the council president didn't know you weren't here. That just seems really odd to me when we have business to conduct. Thompson: I was here all day. It was just a miscommunication. We just have to reschedule it. Davis: The new meeting, do we go over this? Thompson: At the pleasure of council, I can address that now in my report. Williams: This is on the agenda for tonight, correct? (agenda reflected) Thompson: Yes. Williams: So, you're going to discuss it when we read it, or do you want to discuss it now? Thompson: I can discuss it now and answer any questions. Davis: Recreation and the senior, you have this in yellow. Did you adjust it.... Thompson: Yes. Davis: ... at the bottom for extra appropriations and increase. But on the back, we have recreation, it has nothing added there. Would it be there? You have it added for senior, but you don't have it listed on the back. Thompson: Yeah, if everybody has their exhibit, if you look at the front page.... Hardin: Which one is he talking about? Davis: It's yellow. Thompson: I'll scale down to those for explanation. We passed it out last week. Davis: It went in our packets. (Clerk made copies for those who did not bring their copy to meeting). So, every year we go through this process, and I evaluate at year end additional appropriations or transfers that need to be made. Hardin: Excuse me, there are people here for the budget hearing. Are these figures that can be shared with the public or not? Thompson: This is the exhibit attached to the legislation. Hardin: Is there anyone that came to the meeting that would like a copy, is my point if they want a copy. Thompson: No problem. I kind of did a side-by-side exhibit. If you don't see any changes in the departments, I didn't need to make any appropriation changes. The ones that I did potentially need to make changes, if you hone down to the legal admin, I needed to add approximately a \$15,000 appropriation increase for ongoing legal services. And I did put in there that a portion of these legal services ultimately are reimbursed by Kroger. Williams: What portion? Thompson: I guess it would be legal matters dealing with Kroger, but we will have some of.... Williams: So, of the.... Thompson:

...of it reimbursed. Williams: ...\$15,000 that has already occurred, do we have the numbers since this has already happened, of which Kroger is going to reimburse this line item? Thompson: What happened, we started with \$148,664 as I rounded out through the end of the year. My level of appropriations to cover the expenses is about \$163(k). So, I need to raise that \$15,000. I don't have the full details. That would be a legal detail that can be discussed in another meeting but I need to raise appropriations. Climer: Kroger has not yet paid their obligated contract. Davis: For all of their legal.... Climer: Legal expenses that have occurred in the transaction. Williams: Do they have a timeline of when they are supposed to repay us? Climer: *inaudible* Williams: Of this \$15,000 some of it is for Kroger or all of it is for Kroger? Thompson: I would say some, not all. Climer: Kroger is about \$20,000. Williams: Oh, Kroger is \$20,000? Climer: To the village. Williams: Oh, to the village. Climer: Correct. Williams: So, this \$15(k) would be encompassing that \$20(k). Climer: Yes. Thompson: As we move through the next one, it was a \$10,000 and as we went through the year, the utilities came in. I had a pretty good assumption in place, but I needed another \$10,000 to end out the year for all the utilities. No changes again until we get to the health benefits. There is \$238,000. We had that really nice meeting with Mr. Love. We have these claims that have to go on the books. But we do have that stop loss where we get reimbursed on the claims. With the runoff of the claims for the end of the year, it's pretty much associated with the \$238,000 that I need to raise in the health benefits area. Williams: How much of that is going to be reimbursed? Thompson: The majority of all of it. Pretty close to \$250,000. I have reimbursements already on the books, but they get to the end of the year, and they have to do a runoff. Some of them kind of go off into next year. But to cover the appropriations and cover the runoff for '22, I need to raise those appropriations but \$238,000. Williams: So, the \$250,000 reimbursement will exceed that \$238(k). Thompson: Yes. Hardin: So, the reimbursements will kind of.... Thompson: It will offset it. Hardin: It will offset it, plus. Thompson: Yes. Williams: Can we have the Kroger detail on the expenses that we're supposed to get reimbursed for is the one in a report. Thompson: I think the Kroger expenses are ongoing as Jim.... Williams: Whatever happened in 2022 that is amounted to us needing to us leading to adding this additional \$15,000. So, whatever happened, they still may owe us more money because of what started in January. But whatever's happened has already been done so can you give us a report on those totals. I'd like to see them in writing. Thompson: I kind of have them on there as ongoing legal services. It's a culmination of other legal services as well. I will get the details as well. Williams: But the other people are not reimbursing us, correct? Thompson: No, this is ongoing legal actions that Jim is handling for the village. That is where we put all his expenses for the year. When we have his budget and he comes to me with the amounts he needs for the year, that's that plus the ongoing.... Williams: I am just trying to get what Kroger is supposed to reimburse because nobody else is reimbursing us, correct? Thompson: No. Williams: On the stop loss, 2022 is going to end and this is done. Thompson: Right. Williams: We are going to end the year with whatever we end the year with, that \$250,000 when we get that stop loss, what fund does it go into? Thompson: The \$250,000 or the majority of it I already have on the books in general fund. We book that under refunds and reimbursements. You have to book the total claims on the financials. Williams: It's in what fund? Thompson: Refunds and reimbursement in the general fund. Williams: But we don't have it in our accounts. Thompson: We have some of it. We have the majority of it in the general fund now. All of these funds we are discussing now are all under the general fund so it offsets the general fund. Williams: So, the \$250,000 we nearly have already. Thompson: Yes. Now, the transfer outs is the very important one. This is the one that cures our subsidy to the different funds. Historically in all the years, we had to subsidize the street fund, the rec, the senior, the bond and our capital expenditures. So, it kind of comes into segway with you, Ms. Davis, in terms of the yellow (or gray). These (see attachment) are just the summary of the transfers to subsidize the fund so we don't have negative balances are the beginning of the year. Now mind you, in the last 15-16 years, we have never ended with a fund balance. So, this is kind of crucial in making the changes. And just a note at this point, I didn't pass out financials because we are still closing out the year. We have about \$673,000 in the general fund now. As I move and make these additional transfers that we normally do every year depending on the level, we are probably going to end with about \$200,000 to \$300,000 after I make these additional transfers to these funds. So, what happens, if you approve me, we raise the appropriations, I make the final transfers to the fund, they become whole, we end the year with positive fund balances and that's how we cure those funds. Williams: Where are the permanent appropriations for what these funds were before you needed to transfer these funds in? Thompson: They are in that left column. When you asked the question, Ms. Davis, about recreation? I didn't need to move anymore appropriations because it was enough to cover the expenses. But when you evaluate the fund, the fund takes in several resources of income. It's not self-sufficient, so we always have to move a transfer down to make the fund whole. That is why I didn't

need anymore appropriations. You have to keep a distinction between the appropriations that council has already approved versus additional transfers. That is why we have the language in the legislation, 'appropriations and additional transfers' or visa versa. Williams: But you only have to make additional transfers if the money we appropriated is not sufficient. Thompson: It's two separate. You have the appropriations to cover the expenses but you have to look at the net affect of the revenue and expenses on the actual fund. Right now, we have some negative matters that can be cured by transfers from the general fund. Williams: So, recreation and senior have negative that you need to transfer.... Thompson: At this point and that is not unnormal because that happens every year. They have to be subsidized from the general fund. Williams: So, what we are looking for is where are the appropriations? *Thompson shows her in the document she has in her possession. * Thompson: It's over here. If you look here at all these funds, and you look at 204 is the recreation; 208 is the senior. As you see, I don't have to change the appropriations. Davis: Then why do you have transfer out this amount? Thompson: Because the transfer you need to process as additional revenue for the fund. Davis: I am misunderstanding. So, if you have this amount for recreation, say \$285,010.... Thompson: Right, as the appropriations the level of spending. Davis: Then you transfer out into recreation \$10,000 even, but it doesn't show differently that you needed \$10,000. Thompson: Let me add a little more clarity; when we make these transfers, you already approved me at the beginning of the year. Maybe this will be helpful to you too, Ms. Williams. In the beginning of the year, for the senior, you approved \$75,000 as transfers and recreation, \$200,000 as transfers. That was back when we passed the full budget back in April. As we go through the year and we go through the revenues and expenses, and I round out at the end of the year, these are the additional dollars I need to add to transfer to those funds. We don't want to end them with a negative fund balance. Hardin: So, just the fact that the language says, 'the budget plus any required transfers', makes this the required process. Thompson: Yes, in the preamble of the legislation. Hardin: I'm hanging on that. Keep going. Thompson: In summary, that is why.... Williams: Question. So, you are balancing out this fund, do you have to wait to the end of the year to do this? Because if you already know.... Thompson: Well, you don't but go ahead. Williams: ...in balance at the end of the year, why can't you do it before we get to the end of the year? Can you not do that? Thompson: I do them through the quarter, but transfers are very unique. If you push them down, they are permanent and they can't come back to the general fund. I try to evaluate by quarter in terms of transfers. I process them all year long but as I get into this year-end process, I have to evaluate, put in all the final adjustments for the year and whatever that final number is, I can include the additional adjustments. I think what happened is the Mayor's program really got back up to snuff eventually from COVID. We can take that information and evaluate the levels for next year as well. Williams: So, of these that you have in the transfer increase details, are there specific line items within these budgets where you know they overspend? Davis: More than what we budgeted. Thompson: In this case they didn't overspend in the actual appropriation levels, but they needed more revenue to subsidize so they didn't end the fund with a negative. But you can see that clearly in the 204 and the 208, it's a very line item detailed when you review your financials every month. Also, with these transfers, when you transfer out of the general fund, some of the last codes we have in the general fund, it will say 'transfer out-recreation'. Then you do a transfer in for like recreation as well so they match. In the end, the purpose is to ensure that you don't end up with a negative fund balance. This is historical. We always subsidize these funds because they just don't have enough to operate on their own. Williams: This is what you had to have approved to the county by the 13th. Thompson: It is a process they want at the year end. I discussed with them and told them we were still going through finalizing some stuff. I told them some of the dialog about the staff and severe illness in the department. I did send them what's called an amended certificate of my resources and also sent them the 25% budget that you passed. This will be the final piece to end the year with the county. Williams: The deadline was the 13th. Is there any.... Thompson: Yes, it was the 13th. Williams: Was there any penalties.... Thompson: No penalties. Williams: ...or any sanctions for not having it done by the 13th? Thompson: No sanctions of penalties. Davis: Your SCMR account on the back has this amount (\$1,218,000) but then you have this amount \$100,000 amended. ON the front, you are saying you need \$230(k). Thompson: Uniquely that account and I was moving down to that, that one needs a double entry in terms of an increase in the appropriations to cover the expenses, but also a transfer to cure the fund to not be negative. But I was moving down to that. You can jump down to the capital as well. It will need an increase of \$100,000 to cover. In that fund is pretty much all our leases where we buy the leaf factor for Tommy or the Tahoes for the police department or the fire trucks for the fire department. Williams: But for these, you said you actually went over what was budgeted by \$200,000. Thompson: Correct, with the SCMR. Williams: So, you have specific line items for this that went over budget.... Thompson: A lot of the SCMR is a lot of the miscellaneous contractual. If you

recall the huge exhibit that Ed talked to us about. Now a lot of things in the SCMR fund are not covered by grants. We have to do street striping for the streets. We have to cover our commitment for the salt purchases. He may have on-going repairs to some of the vehicles. A lot of that stuff in terms of a combination of the miscellaneous contractual, ongoing services that we do out of the street fund, we needed that increase. Williams: But for those 201 and 401, you can show where we actually went over or what was in addition to what was appropriated. Thompson: Yes. Williams: Can you get that to us? Thompson: Yes. Davis: The capital, you are increasing it by \$100,000. But on the front, you are only transferring out \$74,000. So, is this it \$74,000 or \$100,000? Thompson: You have to keep have to keep very distinct between the appropriations and the transfers. Just a level of expenditures for the capital account, I projected the \$212(k) but as we moved into the end of the year, between some of the capital improvements we made and just the servicing of the leases for these large vehicles, I needed to move those appropriations \$100,000 higher. So, to cure it so it won't be a negative fund.... Every month when you get your financials, you can look at your cash report and you can see the movement from the beginning year balances, what we collected for revenue in the current year, what expenses in the current year. Then you get an unexpended balance that's available, and the encumbrances. Now, the encumbrances come into play when all the department heads put their purchase requisitions in for paper, pens, tires, etc., and it kind of shows the entire picture. So, when you get that financial book every month, you can kind of peruse through those numbers. I am open any time if you want to come by my office, take a look and I can walk you through a lot of stuff if needed. Williams: So, you are still closing out the year, so you don't have our year end numbers? Thompson: We are getting pretty close. Like I said, it's been a real challenge with the severe illness. I had no staff for 6 weeks, but we kept the doors open, kept moving. We are getting closer now to catching up. Just some final adjustments and revenues and expenses to the books and I will have a final number. Davis: Did you have your employee.... Thompson: Yes, they are back and I did end up hiring a new employee. She is working out very well. Davis: How long has she been here? **Thompson:** I'd would say a couple of weeks, 2 ½ weeks. She is moving along pretty good. She came in with some pretty sharp skills so that is helping us out quite and bit. Davis: And the other one that was *sick (*should not announce medical conditions in a public forum-HIPAA), is back? Thompson: He's back. Hardin: Just as a point of verification; last year we allocated money to Tommy's department for the trucks. Right, Tommy? But you didn't get the trucks, right? Haba: Yes, we did not get the pick up truck. Hardin: At the very end, we are coming back and how much is the truck? Haba: The one you said 'ok' to in December, '21 was under \$40,000. But because it's leases, that's not how we show it. I may be speaking out of line, Brian, but I don't think it showed in the budget every year because we're leasing them. I did not buy that truck for the \$39,000. Thompson: The key is, if you remember, when we got the lease from First National Bank, the level of the lease stayed the same. But with Tommy not using that portion, the dollars stay in escrow. The commitment to service the lease payments stays the same. That is why with things he didn't use, we can go back and utilize it. But the commitment of servicing the lease stays the same. Hardin: So, those dollars are already committed. Thompson: Yes, that was passed by council. Hardin: That's where I got a little confused as far as paperwork is concerned. Thompson: They put it in escrow and with the lease, as long as council approves the appropriations for the servicing of the leases, then that is how we service the payments for the lease. We do separate legislation for those in the different departments when we buy those large pieces. And they expire and some create new. We are constantly paying up and down on those leases as well. Kind of like retiring some of our debt. But the language of the lease is just like you may know for the lease of your car pretty much. Davis: So, the new one he does want to get is going to be a lot more, I assume? Thompson: It's kind of a balance up and down but we had enough level in escrow to make the payment. Hardin: That's what I am trying to figure out. Haba: The one this year will be a little bit more.

Law-Climer: I have been in touch with Ross. He said the equipment was to be delivered today for the Columbus Road demolition which is very good news. He said the contractor has been very cooperative. They are going to try and move it along. That's a little weather dependent and as I understand it, the electricity has been disconnected. I had intended or hope to have legislation on the Kroger incentive sent out to everybody.... Hardin: Excuse me. Just hold on for a minute. The Columbus Rd. house, that is coming down? Climer: Yes. Hardin: Who is paying for that? Climer: The owners I believe. We are not. Hardin: I thought I heard that but wanted to back up. Climer: I had hoped I would have the legislation we talked about a few weeks ago on the Kroger incentives but it's not quite ready yet. Hopefully, I will have that for the next meeting. Hardin: We already dealt with Kroger incentives, have we not? Why are we.... Climer: As I explained a couple of weeks ago, we are committed in the development agreement to pass a TIF and CRA

legislations. It is just tying up that end. Hardin: Did we not do that already? Climer: No, we have not. We passed the development agreement. The TIF and CRA agreements are to come. It has been agreed upon and with the development agreement, we need to tie that up. Hardin: Did we see that in the development agreement already? Climer: Yes. I will have the appropriate portions of the development agreement with that legislation so that everybody can see it. Hardin: And one more time, in the contract with them, what is the deadline for groundbreaking? Climer: The end of '24 I believe. Hardin: Can we get an affirmative on when? Climer: You will get an affirmative. I know I have distributed that section of the contract one time. Williams: Is there a deadline on when we have to pass the TIF and CRA legislation for Kroger? Climer: You need to get it done before they break ground, and they are looking to break ground this spring.

Police-Garratt: A gentleman asked me the other day in not so many words, why we enforce traffic. * inaudible-quiet mumble* I just want to pass this along and share with you (not available for showing; description to follow). As I mentioned before, by enforcing the small crimes, it deters the larger crimes. That's been said and done over and over through law enforcement. The years when Giuliani took over NYC, if you had ever been in NYC before he took over, the crime was rampant. It was really turned around by enforcing the small violations. It's a little different here. What that picture is, it looks like a shootout going on in some TV show or some rough gang area. That was actually southbound Broadway at Rockside. That is 1:35 in the afternoon. There were roughly 30 rounds those two were shooting with the car in front of them. That is kind of an explanation of why. Mayor: And where again? Garratt: This is Maple Heights at Warren and Bedford at Broadway Ave southbound which is on the way here a mile and a half down the road. It's not a joke. This really happens and this really happens in this community and the communities around us which is why we do what we do. I want it so when you drive through OV, you see a police car or two every single time. It's all around us. We have a lot of incidents that occur in neighboring areas on a regular basis. We have been fortunate with the diligence of our officers whether you see their car parked at the gas station or any traffic enforcement on various roads. That's pretty scary. Ironically, we have the flock cameras and that was caught on a flock camera. So, going further, if that vehicle had come into OV, it was already logged in with a description of it. If it hit the border of OV, it would notify all officers that were on duty that it was in our town. Pretty serious. But I just want you guys to be aware because I had this conversation with this gentleman. Davis: So, these people were confiscated or.... Garratt: There is an investigation going on right now. I can't into all the details. It's not our investigation but there has been progress made. Maple Heights is about 52 officers down. They can't hire anybody. It's very difficult to hire any police office right now. Bedford just announced lateral transfers meaning, you can walk out of OV PD and walk into Bedford PD making about \$17,000 more. They are \$82,000 walk in right now for a patrolman plus \$10,000 sign on bonus. Warrensville Heights is \$85,000 plus a sign on bonus. The departments can't find *inaudible-quiet mumble * and we don't want to lose the guys we have now for obvious reasons. I don't want anybody to retire either but.... Mayor: Let's appreciate our chiefs of both safety areas for being able to maintain an adequate force to keep things safe. Garratt: It's challenging this day and age right now. Any law enforcement agency is struggling to get anybody. Maple Heights used to have 300 come in and take their test. They are the 3rd highest paid in the state. Now they are looking at 25 applicants coming in. Mayor: Cleveland is down 500 officers. Garratt: 800 officers. Columbus came in a sniped a whole bunch of them because they pay more. Things are changing and we have to stay diligent in what we're doing. That's one of the reasons right there. It's terrifying. Hardin: I missed the substance when I walked away from the television. It was stated on the news that there was some type of scam going on in Solon. Do you know what I am talking about? Garratt: The credit card scam? Hardin: I missed it. Garratt: There is always a scam going on to be quite honest. The news reports... *inaudible-chair squeak* ... window. This one was a credit card scam if that is the one you are speaking of. I didn't watch the news today but there was a credit card scam. They were kiting cards and all kinds of stuff. Hardin: You said 'kiting'? Garratt: Yeah on the black market they sell these things and you can manufacture credit cards. Some of the worse things that can happen, and I try not to get too deep into it. We have a lot of this stuff on our web page to protect you guys. It's your ID in Solon. Forget the credit cards. You can cancel those and they will come back and find you. But it's your ID. They take your ID and they make credit cards or they go for lines of credit with your information on them. That's why they took SSN off but they have other ways to get in and scam. That's another one of those where the IDs were stolen, credit cards were made and they use them... *inaudible-quiet mumble* ... without your knowledge.

Mayor: I will reflect on ViewRay. ViewRay, who moved to OV about 10-12 years ago, holds the worldwide license for potential combination of MRI guided gamma radiation therapies. It has been named one of the 50 most promising technical companies in the world. They actually sold one most recently to Lewis Stokes. Even the Vatican has bought one. Anyway, it's interesting back in August of this year in Crain's Cleveland. Reading: 'ViewRay Inc. sets HQ move to Denver.' Big headline. Continue reading: 'The OV based manufacturer of the MRI radiation therapy system said a formal headquarters opening will take place Monday, August 15, in Denver, where ViewRay in the mid 2019 established an office. Cleveland is losing a medical technology company to Colorado. ViewRay is a NASDAQ traded OV based manufacturer of the MRI...' *inaudible-someone coughed* '...announced Monday, August 8th, that it is moving its headquarters to Denver as it continues to attract top tier talent in the state's growing medical technology section. The company said in a news release that a formal headquarters opening will take place at the Granite Tower in Denver and it will feature remarks from both the Colorado Governor and the Denver Mayor.' Reading this, they're gone. Then, it finally says, 'ViewRay's release made no mention of OV headquarters and whether any operation will remain here. A phone call and email to ViewRay and OV was not returned. The article in the Denver Gazette noted that most of ViewRay's employees are in Ohio and California but did not breakdown employment by locations.' When the media called me, the article *inaudible*. Again, that was August of last year. They now announced to me that they are expanding in OV. This in addition to our expansions of \$4 million by formally IBA Molecular, nka Sofie getting a cyclotron over there at First Place to accelerate particles for their medical research as well as Oakwood Laboratories and the minor expansion. All 3 are cancer research companies in OV and are expanding. Insterstate McBee already had their 200,000 sq ft building up. It will be another 100,000 in another year and a half and they will eventually move their light manufacturing facilities to OV as well. I visited AGMET and they will be pouring in \$8.5-\$9 million of internal work to be able to make them more efficient as well as more safe. Also, to have no particles anymore into the air. As I was leaving there, I got a call from Caterpillar right next door and they are going to have an expansion of \$3.5-\$4 million. So, between Interstate McBee at \$30 million and the ones I just mentioned, along with WMO, at \$6 million and Kroger, you are looking at about \$175 million in expansions this next year in OV. Kroger called me up today and they will be meeting with me for a week from next Monday. They will be bringing in their two development contractors and they want to have a representative from Team NEO at this meeting. I will have our engineer along with our FD as well Chris Ronayne. I was with him on Saturday getting sworn in, but he knew OV and he knew me. He is going to come to OV within the next 3-4 weeks. He is the county executive director who officiates over ARPA money. We already \$250,000 for the Fair Oaks project and we are looking for an even large sum for Oak Leaf. We have more going on here than anywhere in Cuyahoga County. That is because of bold and unconventional moves vs. what is going on elsewhere.

Public Comment:

Resident 1 Andrea Lowe 26342 Red Fox Trail (The Meadows): I was here for a meeting back in September. Me and my husband called you around the summertime. With all due respect, the companies and all that, that is wonderful. I love it. But a lot of the people that work for the companies have to drive around the neighborhood to see what the neighborhood looks like. In my development, the streets have never been blacktopped. It looks horrible. Aesthetics do a lot for a street or a neighborhood and it's one of the best developments here. I love OV. However, I would like to get a report on the ratings. *inaudible-muffled* Mayor: You will have a rating on all the streets including the streets in the Meadows. You will know exactly according to ODOT specs so you will see how it rates to any other street in OV. Resident 1: Because I walk around the streets in the neighborhood because we have no sidewalks, and there was a whole lot.... Mayor: At the beginning we were looking at the trails through the forested area, but we didn't quite get the support at the time. At least we got the trees in there. Resident 1: Oh yes, it's beautiful. But the roads have divots, so somebody.... Mayor: We hear you. Resident 1: It's never been blacktopped since we've been there. The first initial phase has never been blacktopped. The back areas has been blacktopped. So, really, visually, street appeal is what makes the home. If someone is driving by, they see we have all these businesses and everything, but we aren't taking care of the neighborhoods. So, will they take their money from the development or from their businesses to Macedonia or would they stay in OV? Mayor: That's a good question. The point is with all these other cities particularly, many employees are wanting to work from home rather than going to work, and they are paying their taxes where they live rather than where they work. Many office buildings and this was the idea where Kroger is going, we were going to have 3 office

buildings. But that was pre-COVID and we realized that office buildings were going to be a white dinosaur. Resident 1: But some of the money coming in is not going into our streets. Mayor: It's going into our general fund. There are other streets that demanded more attention at the time. For 2023, we have Fair Oaks which is being done. You have Forbes Road in '24 being done. Like I said, we'll see how it rates and we will have that within the next 3 months where we are with the Meadows to see what can be done over there. Resident 1: Because it's been patched. You see like a lot of veins going through or whatever. If somebody wanted to walk, you have no place to walk, so you have to walk in the street. *inaudible-resident/mayor* Resident 1: ... so when the weather is better you can walk the development and see what I am talking about. Mayor: I have been there, and the key is obviously getting the tax dollars coming in to be able to do things like this. Resident 1: Also, with the school systems, if we don't keep our neighborhoods good to attract more people, the way it looks is the people you're going to attract. You're going to attract renters, you're going to attract people that you want. They are just going to come up.... And I am not going to name any other location but I am going to say East Cleveland and other areas, they are coming this way. So, if we keep it where they can't afford it or some kind of way they can't get round it, if we don't take care of the area, they feel like they can just come in, rent. Mayor: Exactly and that is what we have been facing the last few weeks with council where some of these LLCs.... Resident 1: The thing is you have to have something to offer people if you want to attract the upper echelon, then you have to offer something for them to come to.

Resident 2 Karen Howse 7209 Glenshire Rd: First, I would like to compliment several departments. First being the service department. It's nice to wake up and know that your streets are clean. It's also nice to see when you're driving you see police officers patrolling. I think that is important and I will always be loyal to the fire department for how they took care of my mom. I will never forget it and it just goes to show how much it is appreciated that it matters in the community. Interior, nobody pays attention to what happens to the interior as far the administration. What they really care about is if they call the police and if they are going to come. If the service department is going to be able to plow and be able to get out of our yards. Or if the trash is going to be picked up or if you call EMS. I have been here almost 29 years and you have never failed me. I just think it is so important to acknowledge that and that too, along with the fact of a community playing a part where it looks the look and it's attracting people. But that is one of the attractions. People want to know are we going to be safe. I enjoy living here. It has never crossed my mind to move. I feel safe. I lock my door, but I feel safe because no community is exempt from crime. We know that. I just wanted to give the accolades because our guys are cleaning these streets and I am not going to give away all the secrets where the police officers are but I guarantee you look and they are going to be in the proximity. I appreciate that. They are some people that come into OV. Especially trucks that come down Forbes Rd, speeding down and it clearly says 'do not enter' and they just come plowing through there. I have to say I had the entertainment of watching them go right by on Forbes Rd and get stopped right there at Dennisport or whatever street because the officer is right there. So, I wanted to first give the appreciation to all of the departments that do their job so well. The other thing I will say is when I first moved here, I remember the Mayor standing up and saying he will never have the smokestack industry come back here. You have kept true to your word. It's nice because I don't want to smell waste when I wake up. If you live in Solon Club apartments, you smell some stinky stuff over there. But I had a couple of questions. Sounds like OV is attracting and retaining companies. What does the \$175 million mean for us? Are you giving TIFs, are you doing anything additional? What does that look like for us when you say expansion? What does that mean? Is it administration, warehouse, what does that look like? Mayor: These are companies where people have to come to work versus working from home. So, we really focused on that in the last 3 years and it's paying off because you are seeing these other cities just struggle and either raising their income taxes. If they can't do that, they lower their tax credit. Resident 2: Now, let's go back to the finances. Brian is absolutely right in regard to the special revenue funds. They are not self-sustaining. They do require support. I think what he was trying to explain and you can correct me if I'm wrong. What I think he was trying to explain as it relates to say the recreation. I think what he is saying for example, if he was to budget \$50,000 and you guys approve it, but you only expensed \$40,000. If he doesn't reduce that budget, he has to make sure he covers that entire \$50,000. It necessitates him to move \$10,000 to cover the whole budget even if he didn't expense it. That is why it is kind of quirky where if you didn't expense it, why is it necessary to transfer \$10,000? Is that what you were trying to explain to them? Thompson: To a certain extent and it all is culminating back to the fund balance. Resident 2: And the ORC does require that no special revenue fund should go into the negative. If you do have a budget and you only have \$50,000 in

revenue sources and you budget \$60,000, you got to cover the \$60,000 if you budgeted \$60,000. I think that is what he is trying to say. My one question is goals. We are talking future and Mayor I know you are opposed to a rainy day. I don't like that term, but you're opposed. Mayor: Maybe in the future, but we are not.... Resident 2: I understand we're a small village, but my one question is what is the goal? At the end of each year, what is the aspiring goal even though you may not reach it? That is the one question I would like the finance committee to start to answer for me. What's the goal? Where are you comfortable when you pull the trigger and we might start looking at the credits? Not that we want to do that, I am not encouraging that. I am just saying every year we want to say, 'we want to end our general fund at \$500,000.' Like that for me makes me feel comfortable. Where I don't feel comfortable is.... And again, we range from \$500,000 down to \$300,000 down to \$200,000 year-end balance for general fund. Thompson: Yes. Resident 2: What has changed is our expenses. Our services are important. We don't want that compromised. All the departments are just as important. So, I am just concerned that we do our due diligence to work on figuring out a plan on how we sustain our revenue source. When do we pull the trigger to say we have to do something different without compromising our services. So, when I saw this budget amendment, Brian, you got a little upset with me because I was asking questions but they were genuine. I called you that day as well. It goes to vm so you need to check your vm. Thompson: I am not upset with you. You have been in this seat, and you know after going through this for 15 years, where a lot of the progressive ideas that the Mayor has, you yourself know it's not easy. Although we don't agree all the time, we do come back to the numbers lab and look at what's best for the village. We'll get this end of year wrapped up; you are going to be pleased we're are in the black. The general fund is moving forward. A lot of the accolades that you gave to the departments, is a result of what we do. It's a lot of positive. Resident 2: Well, I just wanted to say to you that I know you have had some challenges with your department, and I understand that. That is not unique. I understand that would cause some delay. What I ask you may want to consider when you do submit an appropriations and present it to council, even though you are not closed.... I am a vision person. I've got to see it to understand it. So if you just give them the expense report or the statement of cash and then compare, and explain this is why you have to do these transfers. You can still disclose that you're not done for the year, but it will be helpful even for me to understand why you are transferring funds to public lands or why you are transferring \$10,000 to the recreation program. By you explaining it, show me because I am a visionary person, so I can say 'ok, it's important.' What he is saying is it is important that you do resolve these negative balances for the special revenue fund. What that means is, when he closes 2022, those unencumbered balances will show up as a negative and he will start the year as a negative if he doesn't make those transfers. That's why it's important and it's also important if you're going to pass legislation, they need to see it. And me as a resident, I am a visual person, I did call in advance. I want to see it. If you put it on your website, I won't have to call you. That was the last piece of the finances. I would appreciate it. I was really excited to come to the finance committee meeting. Thompson: We will reschedule it and you will be excited to come again. Resident 2: Can you tell the council again what the anticipated ending balance is? Thompson: \$200,000 to \$300,000. Resident 2: So, the question comes to council and I'll leave, where are you comfortable? We have to do something to make a change to make sure we are feeling secure as residents, that we are not going to shut down and that our services here are not going to be compromised. Hardin: Can I respond to what she said? Karen, I want to say thank you. What you saw today and what you will see when we vote I think, is an oldy here and a newbie over there. No matter where we are in our understanding of these numbers, the fact that he hasn't completed the transfers. He was smart enough and did what he had to do to get the extension. Things are working out and you helped to simplify how this works. You have also made it very clear what the general public expects but what the law expects. That being said and it's no reflections. This is just how it works. I will tell you I will not vote tonight on the budget only because you haven't finished closing it, but because the two of you know the bottom line. And if this is going to work out, I heard you state that more than likely it will be ok. But because we are ultimately responsible. Until those numbers are there and it's on record what he gives to us and what we do with it is on record, that is how the process should work. I say thank you. You made it very simple. I say thank you to Brian. Your responses were at a level and a point that it is very understandable. I am hearing her questions and it comes naturally. But if you don't know, you don't know. I hope everybody understands how this is supposed to work. Resident 2: I want to say I appreciate the Mayor and council. I am just counting on you guys. I am not on the inside. I am just asking for information and it always doesn't have to be an ulterior motive when I'm asking.

Resident 3 Pat Malone 22796 Drake Road: Mayor, you clarified one thing because I had people asking me about the Vet Center. Mayor: Many people think it's a veterinary hospital. Resident 3: Please explain to me. Is it for people with like PTSD or something? Mayor: Combat veterans or veterans coming back from wherever they were at or those who lost their lives, their wives and kids. Even those that maybe weren't in combat. 22 veterans committing suicide every day. That is what is going on there. They are just taking 2-3 because they haven't officially begun yet. The ribbon cutting will be in the next month to month and a half. We do have a lot of veterans here. Resident 3: Okay, you touched on Fair Oaks. Mayor: Springtime. Resident 3: Have the bids gone out? How are you.... Mayor: Because there were so few to begin with, it's being redone. We have been assured by the people that didn't submit last time, they will this time. They will be that it will be under what we budgeted.... Resident 3: How much have we budgeted? Mayor: ...we have a quarter million of ARPA money, and some other money coming in, so we are going to be paying less than originally thought. This project has to be done this year. Resident 3: So, again, I'm asking, you did ask the people that submitted bids before. Mayor: Ed handles all that. Resident 3: They were contacted again, and they said they would.... So, we're doing Fair Oaks, what about the other side? Mayor: Oak Leaf. We are applying for that next year. Here's the problem with Oak Leaf and one of the reasons I share with Chris Ronayne and that is, we are applying for a grant from Broadway to Oak Leaf Oval. That is where Linear Logistic is and where WMO is and where Interstate McBee is. However, as you know there is only lane going south onto alexander and one going north. How the heck can this handle all this traffic when Walton Hills can have an Amazon operation over there. It can't be done. Resident 3: No, they're not. My understanding is it's not going to be a warehouse. That corner building has been sold by someone already. I don't know who it is but it sure looks like an Amazon to me. Mayor: The other point is, that is why I am seeing Ronayne is because we need another lane. OV should not have to pay. You need a 3rd lane for that 1/3 section. But it's going to cost 2/3 the amount for the previous section because there is a drop-off. You have to move the telephone poles and everything. You're looking at a million dollars. Let Walton Hill, MGM and Northfield handle that with TIF money. We shouldn't be responsible for it. Resident 3: But you are saying Fair Oaks. Because back in April 2022, I was promised it would be done by the end of the year. When I came up here in June, the bids had not even gone out. Now anybody, and I am not a person that works with concrete or asphalt, but you don't wait till the middle of the summer to ask for bids. I'm sorry. It just irked me when I heard that. But anyway, we'll continue. Mayor: This will be done this year. Absolutely. Resident 3: And a part of that, the reason you are going to make sure this is being done, because Kroger is starting to build and they are going to have to come across there.... Mayor: Well, they won't be needing it at this point yet. But the point is, this is a very important project for the county and OV. Remember, OV will be the hub for deliveries west of Pittsburg, north of Columbus and east of Sandusky. You have to have.... Resident 3: I mentioned this last year and probably the year before, when we had the ODOT was putting in the lanes for 271, we had a concrete plan right there. Why wasn't it thought to work somehow that they would do that. Nobody thought ahead of that. And now those 2 roads are terrible. I am looking forward to it and again, I'll believe it when I see it. And another thing, what's with all junk at the corner of Broadway and Richmond? That is disgusting. Mayor: Well, we have a first priority and that is getting rid of the chickens. Resident 3: He got rid of them, didn't he? Davis: No, he did not. Mayor: He will be in court. He has until the end of March to get rid of his chickens. Although I think because of the avian flu, we don't know how many chickens he's lost from the flu. But those chickens have to be going by the end of March or the court date is in April in Bedford. Resident 3: Thank you Mary for getting that ball rolling. So, when is Kroger starting? When are they going to.... Mayor: I will have a meeting with them a week from Monday or Tuesday and I look forward to giving a response to how that meeting went. It will include, as I said, Team NEO from NE Ohio coordinating agency along with Kroger's representatives and their own contractors. We are going to tighten some things up and make sure there are no misunderstandings. I will have the information hopefully by our next meeting. Resident 3: And AGMET. Is it always AGMET that we are complaining about? Mayor: Yes, \$8.5 to \$9 million. They had been very, very good because AGMET was bought out or sold out to Glencore which is a publicly traded company. Things have been better but all of a sudden right at the holidays, for 2 nights in a row, it was very, very bad. Resident 3: I know. Mayor: We had to close the operations down. Even the new owners understood this. They asked me to come in. This \$8.5 to \$9 million will be spent this coming year, so it's not going to be over 2-3 years. Resident 3: A lot of that is going to go for the air pollution? Mayor: Exactly. Resident 3: I mean because not everybody gets it.... Mayor: Drake gets it worse than anybody. Resident 3: Yeah. It's just like it comes straight across.... Mayor: It's that NE breeze. Resident 3: ... and for all these years, our lungs have taken this in so I am glad that they are doing something.

Legislation:

ORD 2022-74
Introduced by Mayor &
Council as a whole
3rd Reading

AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE 2022-25 AS AMENDED MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR CURRENT EXPENSES OF THE VILLAGE OF OAKWOOD DURING THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2022, AND MAKING NECESSARY TRANSFERS AND ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS

*Request made by Hardin to table until council receives the requested documents.

Thompson: Well, the transfers and stuff are part of getting the final numbers in place so it's crucial. Hardin: You can't do the transfers until we pass the budget? Thompson: Correct. You have to give me authority to make those changes. All funds will end in the black. Hardin: You answered my question. It's like putting the cart before the horse if you haven't finished up, how do we know where we are going to fall? Thompson: I put pretty good assumptions in place to make these changes to cover the year end where I need. When I come back to report to you, you will see those changes in place and how they will affect the funds. I do need this to end the year. Hardin: Then I am going to say something publicly. You should have been here. You gave it to us and like you said, we had time to scrutinize it all. If it was that hot.... Thompson: Truly apologize for that miscommunication. Davis: I have one other question. In this ordinance, we are doing the transfers, it says, anything on "Exhibit A", but the only "Exhibit A", we have is something that is on 2023-1. Is this going to be our "Exhibit A" to use? Thompson: Yes. Davis: So, we are going to have this as "Exhibit A"? Thompson: Yes. Matlock: Can we make it a preliminary one until to see where everything goes in place before we vote? Thompson: The assumptions that I put in place is how we are going to end the year. Callender: He is saying this is the assumptions right here. This is the stuff you are asking for right here. Gaither: This is the 3rd reading we need to pass it or we don't. Williams: Table it? Gaither: No. He is saying if we table it, he can't do what he needs to do. Hardin: He can't make those changes while it's tabled? Gaither: No, he can make his changes, but he is saying he can't do what he needs to do if we don't pass it. Thompson: I need the council's authority to make these additional transfers. Williams: But it doesn't sound like everyone is comfortable. It doesn't sound like you have the majority vote so can you table it. Sounds like you need to call a special meeting if it needs to be done before the next meeting. Is there a deadline on this? I thought the deadline was January 13th? Thompson: It was the 13th but as previously explained, I discussed with the county that I anticipated giving it to them by the end of this month. Gaither: So, we can have another meeting if that is necessary. Hardin: Before we commit to that, I am a visual person. If you put these where you are going to put these dollars, and give us an example as part of where we are going to end up, can you talk us through that or show us that? Thompson: Well, the comprehensive exhibit I showed you tonight, if you want to go through it again before making the final changes? Hardin: So, you're saying, this should be sufficient to put the numbers where they need to be. Thompson: Yes. Gaither: These are the changes that he needs to do is what he's saying. Hardin: So, there is nothing else you can do to put these numbers to make them a final number. Thompson: Not without your authority because we do have these negative fund balances in these transfers to cure those. The appropriation changes for the capital, SCMR covers the expenses throughout the year. But in all of that, we still end up with our fund balances in the black. If you don't give me the authority, if we end the year, I am going to have these negative fund balances which we don't want to do that. Hardin: And every year we transfer.... Thompson: Yes, every year. Hardin: ... I can attest to that. Mayor: So, Brian, is there a need for a special meeting or not? Thompson: I would say no. Williams: But he doesn't determine the need. If we don't feel comfortable passing it and people need more information and more time since we just got it on Friday and just discussed it today (fyi: packets were delivered to council members and it was posted on website Tuesday, 1/17/2023, before the council 1/24/2023 meeting due to clerk being out that week. Constant Contact was delivered Friday as scheduled.) Mayor: But we certainly want to satisfy your request. But they are obviously concerned about any repercussions by not having this submitted before the end of the month. Can you provide what they are asking for before the end of the month? Thompson: You want to see how the year end would look? Hardin: Yes sir. Thompson: I can put what I need in the report. Hardin: That is the objective. Resident 2: Can you just give them a statement of cash to see the negative balances? I think if they had that visual, if you have that available.... Thompson: I can show them now. It's still going to be a couple of adjustments so it's not final. You can see a draft now. You can be more visual of what I'm dealing with. I can pass out this cash report. Williams: Sounds like we need another meeting. I don't know why we couldn't have gotten those documents. You could have said they are not final. *inaudible-multiple voices* Gaither: Let's do this. Since there is that level of uneasiness, we can have the meeting before the end of the month. Put together what you need to put together. We can come up with a day. Hardin: But everybody will have to commit to it or we will be in trouble. Williams: Is this a deadline or is this when you told them.... Thompson: I got an

extension.... Williams: And they gave you to the 31st? Thompson: Yes. I told them what I was dealing with. Williams: January 31st is the date they gave you. Thompson: Yes. Gaither: So, next Tuesday (31st) if everybody is available.... *Discussion of next Tuesday 1/31/2023 at 6pm but changed to 7pm.*

ORD 2023-1
Introduced by Mayor &
Council as a whole
2nd Reading

AN AMENDED ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH CAROLOS GERALDO RANGEL GONZALEZ AND SANDRA LUZ MEJIA ALCANTARA TO SELL VILLAGE LAND DESIGNATED OF THE RECORD OF THE CUYAHOGA COUNTY FISCAL OFFICER AS PERMANENT PARCEL NO. 795-04-016 AND 795-04-017

Johnnie A Warren, President of Council

*Discussion of the procedure to move this along since this was the first land sale legislation done by the Clerk and some misunderstanding of how it was to be handled. There was no discussion at 1/10/23 meeting. Item to be on the 2/14/2023 council agenda after planning meeting which was scheduled for 2/6/2023.

MOVED TO 3RD READING after passage from Planning commission.

Williams: I do have a question to follow up on the ARPA and CARES. Did we establish the special revenue funds for both of those? Thompson: If you look at when we moved into '23, I did set up the ARPA for the federal dollars. The ARPA for the \$250(k) coming in. Well, the ARPA, the community grant dollars and the CARES. It is on your exhibit for that 2023 temp budget. Resident 2: There is a separate ARPA fund because the CARES and ARPA are different funds. Thompson: Right. CARES, pass through grant through the county, ARPA and then we have the federal dollars through the ARPA. So, if you look at the exhibit, they are on there. Williams: Do you know the line item? Thompson: It's like 250, 251, 252 in that range. Resident 2: You passed an ordinance on that? Thompson: They accepted the dollars so I just put the funds in place. Resident 2: You still have to put the funds in place. I'm just saying the council still has to pass those funds. Thompson: They passed it. Gaither: We did.

MOTION TO ADJOURN by Hardin; Seconded by Matlock

VOTE YES: Gaither, Callender, Hardin, Matlock, Davis, Williams

MEETING/ADJOURNED @ 8:49pm

Phristine Morgan, Clerk of Council

Adopted

Page 12 of 12